

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/465,418	•	12/16/1999	GEOFFREY B. RHOADS	60075	. 8844
23735	7590	10/10/2003		EXAMINER	
		ORATION	MILLER, MARTIN E		
19801 SW 72ND AVENUE SUITE 100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TUALATIN	N, OR 97	062		2623	14
		•		DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003	, ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/465,418	RHOADS ET AL.9					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Martin Miller	2623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 17 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): <u>35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1-5, 14, 18-28.</u>							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>20-22</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,14,18,19 and 23-28</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant is correct that claims 20-22 have not been rejected under prior art and are now merely objected to for their dependence upon rejected base claims because the 35 USC 112 rejection has been overcome. Claims 20-22 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of the rejected base claims. With respect to all other claims, Applicant merely attacks the cited references individually and not in combination. With respect to claims 1-5 and 14, Applicant only attacks Mowry, no the combination of Mowry and Russell. With respect of the the rejection of claims 18, 19, 23-27, applicant merely attacks Durst. If reviewed Witschorik in light of Durst, applicant may better understand that the motivation to combine Witschorik and Durst for one of ordinary skill is to prevent attempted reproduction of authentic currency and whether the document under test has been illegally reproduced, both of which are "information concerning reproduction". If applicant wishes to narrow the meaning of "information concerning reproduction" to that information recited in claims 19 and 20 it is suggested that those limitations be added to the independent claim.

AMELIAM. AU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CFLITTER 2600